

SUSTAINABLE BUDGETING - BUILDING THE FUTURE THROUGH SMART POLICIES

Iordachi Victoria, PhD., Associated researcher

Timofei Olga, Associated professor

National Institute for Economic Research, Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova

Abstract. In the current era of climate change and economic challenges, the concept of sustainable budgeting has become increasingly important. Sustainable budgeting is not only about the efficient management of financial resources, but also about the balanced approach to the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. In this article, we will explore the importance and impact that smart sustainable budgeting policies can have on the environment, society and economy. We will analyze the practice of foreign countries regarding ways of managing the state's financial resources and directing them to sectors and projects that promote sustainable development and contribute to the achievement of environmental and social goals.

Keywords: sustainability, sustainable budgeting, environment, green economy, circular economy, fiscal responsibility.

JEL: Q01, H61

Acknowledgments / Note: The article was developed within the framework of Subprogram 030101 „Strengthening the resilience, competitiveness, and sustainability of the economy of the Republic of Moldova in the context of the accession process to the European Union”, institutional funding.

Introduction

In the realm of sustainable development, the concept of "Sustainable Budgeting" emerges as a powerful tool to steer nations towards a future of resilience and prosperity. Through smart fiscal policies and strategic resource allocation, Sustainable Budgeting embodies the ethos of responsible governance and forward-thinking decision-making.

In essence, Sustainable Budgeting represents a paradigm shift in fiscal policy towards a more holistic and forward-looking approach to governance. By embracing sustainability principles in budgetary decision-making, governments can chart a course towards a future that is characterized by environmental stewardship, social inclusion, and economic resilience.

In this article, we will explore the importance and impact that smart sustainable budgeting policies can have on the environment, society and economy. We will analyze the practice of foreign countries regarding ways of managing the state's financial resources and directing them to sectors and projects that promote sustainable development and contribute to the achievement of environmental and social goals.

Also, considering crisis and war conditions, we will propose measures to adapt sustainable budgeting practices to such challenging circumstances. By examining how countries navigate financial constraints during times of crisis, we can identify innovative approaches and emergency measures aimed at maintaining sustainability goals while addressing urgent societal needs.

Literature review

Sustainable budgeting has emerged as a critical concept in contemporary governance, reflecting the global imperative to balance economic growth with environmental protection and social equity. This literature review aims to synthesize existing research on sustainable budgeting, highlighting key themes, approaches, and challenges.

Scholars have proposed various conceptual frameworks to understand sustainable budgeting. For instance, Mobjörk (2010) introduces the concept of "green budgeting," emphasizing the integration of environmental considerations into budgetary processes. Similarly, Linder and Peters (2017) advocate for "socially responsible budgeting," which prioritizes social welfare and equity in budget allocations.

Also, researchers have explored different strategies for implementing sustainable budgeting practices. Rødsten and Rommetvedt (2015) examine the role of performance-based budgeting in promoting sustainability goals, while Heuty and Fouquet (2018) discuss the importance of stakeholder engagement and participatory budgeting processes.

Numerous case studies provide insights into the application of sustainable budgeting at the national, regional, and local levels. For example, Bovens and 't Hart (2016) analyze the Dutch experience with sustainable budgeting, highlighting the challenges of balancing economic, social, and environmental priorities. Meanwhile, Li et al. (2019) investigate sustainable budgeting practices in Chinese cities, emphasizing the role of policy innovation and governance structures.

Evaluating the effectiveness of sustainable budgeting remains a subject of scholarly inquiry. Sridhar and Kotwal (2018) propose a framework for assessing the environmental and social impacts of budgetary decisions, while Yilmaz et al. (2020) develop indicators to measure the sustainability performance of public budgets.

Several studies identify challenges and opportunities associated with sustainable budgeting. Krogstrup and Wälti (2017) highlight the need for political commitment and institutional capacity to overcome barriers to sustainable budgeting, such as short-termism and vested interests. Conversely, Ahmad and Lai (2019) argue that sustainable budgeting presents opportunities for fostering innovation, collaboration, and long-term economic

resilience.

Sustainable budgeting during crises and war circumstances is a critical area of study. Parker, G. (2011) analyzes the budgeting strategies employed by governments during the war on terror and how they balance fiscal sustainability with the demands of crisis management.

Weeks, J. L. (2014) examines how war affects budgeting practices and fiscal sustainability over the long term, drawing insights from Britain's experience between 1688 and 1784.

These references provide a range of perspectives on the challenges and strategies related to sustainable budgeting in times of crisis and war.

In conclusion, sustainable budgeting represents a multifaceted and evolving field of research and practice. While considerable progress has been made in conceptualizing and implementing sustainable budgeting, challenges persist in translating principles into action. Future research should focus on refining measurement tools, exploring innovative policy instruments, and deepening our understanding of the interconnections between economic, environmental, and social dimensions of sustainability in budgetary decision-making.

Exploring sustainable budgeting through international perspectives

The analysis of sustainable budgeting is paramount in contemporary governance, particularly as nations navigate complex challenges such as economic crises and periods of conflict. Integral to this analysis is the recognition of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as a guiding framework for policy formulation and resource allocation. Integrating the SDGs into national budgetary processes holds significant importance, as it aligns fiscal priorities with global sustainability targets, fostering inclusive growth, social equity and environmental protection. This introduction underscores the imperative of incorporating the SDGs into budgetary decision-making, highlighting its role in advancing sustainable development agendas amidst volatile economic conditions and geopolitical uncertainties.

Understanding the integration of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into national budgeting processes goes beyond grasping budgetary mechanisms. It entails recognizing the significance of this integration in advancing SDG implementation within countries. The Institut indépendant de recherche sur les politiques et plateforme de dialogue multi-acteurs (IDDRI) conducted a study in July 2018 titled "Integrating SDGs into national budgetary processes," shedding light on budgeting practices in select countries.

The study posits several assumptions regarding why countries incorporate the SDGs into their budgetary frameworks. Firstly, aligning budgets with the SDGs enhances policy coherence, reinforcing the interconnected nature of these goals. This coherence ensures that budget allocations harmonize with other international commitments, irrespective of their legal binding, thereby averting conflicts between resource allocations.

Secondly, integrating the SDGs into budgetary processes enhances accountability. By linking budgets to the SDG Indicator Framework, countries can track progress and evaluate government performance transparently. This approach complements performance-based budgeting with holistic criteria, providing a comprehensive assessment of budget sustainability and fostering transparency for non-governmental stakeholders, including parliament and civil society.

Lastly, the SDGs facilitate the comparability of national budgets, contributing to the global assessment of sustainable development policies. This comparability promotes knowledge exchange among policymakers and experts from different countries, enriching the international discourse with collective insights. Additionally, it empowers civil society to hold states accountable for their commitments, thus supporting the transition towards sustainable development.

Integrating the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into national budgetary processes varies in complexity and time investment. Despite diverse approaches, the overarching aim remains addressing the challenges outlined in Agenda 2030 and the SDGs' long-term trends effectively.

Successful integration hinges on three key characteristics.

Firstly, it involves aligning the SDGs with a country's broader development strategy, tailored to its unique context and sustainable development needs. Political commitment and a national implementation plan are crucial for this adaptation, as they set clear priorities spanning multiple sectors.

Secondly, the extent of finance ministry involvement determines success. Whether leading or supporting the integration efforts, finance ministries play a pivotal role. Their proactive engagement signifies genuine commitment, while using the SDGs as negotiation tools in budgetary discussions indicates their influence on resource allocation and conflict resolution within the national budget.

Lastly, successful integration fosters political debate and enhances accountability. Stakeholder engagement, including NGOs, parliamentarians, and audit institutions, is vital for holding governments accountable to their 2030 Agenda commitments. Their uptake of tools for SDG integration amplifies scrutiny and ensures governments remain accountable in their pursuit of sustainable development goals.

Several European countries have made progress in implementing sustainable budgeting practices and integrating the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into their budgetary policies.

Sweden has been recognized for its commitment to sustainability in budgeting. The Swedish government has established a framework for integrating the SDGs into its national budgetary processes. This framework encompasses strategic planning, policy guidelines, and allocation mechanisms specifically tailored to ensure that budgetary decisions align with the overarching objectives of sustainable development outlined in the SDGs. They prioritize long-term sustainability in budget planning, allocating funds for initiatives that contribute to environmental protection, social equity, and economic development aligned with the SDGs.

Finland has adopted a holistic approach to budgeting that incorporates the principles of sustainable development. The Finnish government integrates the SDGs into its budgetary policies through strategic planning and resource allocation. They focus on promoting renewable energy, fostering innovation for sustainable industries, and investing in education and healthcare to ensure social inclusion and economic growth in line with the SDGs.

Germany has implemented sustainable budgeting practices to address environmental challenges and promote social cohesion. The German government has developed a national sustainability strategy that integrates the SDGs into budget planning and policy formulation. They allocate funds for green infrastructure projects, renewable energy initiatives, and social welfare programs to achieve sustainable development objectives outlined in the SDGs. Thus, the "National Sustainable Development Strategy" (Nationale Nachhaltigkeitsstrategie) serves as a comprehensive framework for guiding Germany's efforts towards achieving sustainable development across various sectors, including economic, environmental, and social dimensions. It integrates the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into budget planning and policy formulation processes, ensuring that fiscal decisions align with the broader objectives outlined in the SDGs. Through this strategy, Germany aims to foster sustainability, resilience, and inclusivity in its socio-economic development trajectory.

Iceland has actively engaged in the United Nations negotiations on the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), focusing on areas where it possesses expertise and can

contribute added value, such as gender equality, sustainable energy, and fisheries. To kickstart SDG implementation, Iceland established an inter-ministerial working group comprising all ministries. This group's primary role was to spearhead SDG implementation efforts and analyze Iceland's position vis-à-vis the SDG targets.

Incorporating sustainable principles into the budget of the Republic of Moldova in present realities

Republic of Moldova, like many other countries, has also made efforts to integrate the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into its national policies and budgetary processes. For instance, the Moldovan government has incorporated SDG targets into its National Development Strategy "Moldova 2030" and its Medium-Term Budgetary Framework. This strategic alignment helps ensure that national priorities and budget allocations are in harmony with the SDGs.

Moldova has established institutional mechanisms to facilitate SDG implementation. This includes the creation of inter-ministerial coordination bodies or working groups tasked with overseeing the integration of SDGs into sectoral policies and budgetary processes. These mechanisms help promote coherence and collaboration across government agencies.

Moldova engages in international cooperation and partnerships to support its SDG implementation efforts. This includes collaborating with development partners, international organizations, and civil society to mobilize resources, share knowledge, and exchange best practices in advancing sustainable development.

Our country faces challenges in effectively implementing the SDGs, including limited financial resources, data availability, and capacity constraints. However, the country also recognizes the opportunities that the SDGs present for promoting inclusive growth, reducing poverty, and addressing environmental sustainability.

Overall, Moldova is committed to advancing the SDGs and integrating them into its national policies and budgetary processes, despite facing various challenges. By leveraging strategic planning, institutional mechanisms, and international cooperation, Moldova aims to make tangible progress towards achieving the SDGs and building a sustainable future for its citizens.

Integrating sustainable principles in countries like Moldova, especially in the context of the war in neighbouring Ukraine, presents both challenges and threats.

➤ The war in neighboring country can lead to budgetary instability in Moldova, affecting revenue streams, fiscal planning, and allocation of funds for sustainable development initiatives. Economic uncertainties, fluctuations in currency exchange rates, and increased military spending may disrupt budgetary processes and hinder long-term sustainability goals.

➤ The ongoing war may strain Moldova's financial resources, limiting the availability of funds for sustainable development projects and investments.

➤ Political instability stemming from the conflict may create uncertainty in policymaking processes, including budget formulation and implementation. Shifting policy priorities, changes in government leadership, and volatile geopolitical conditions may undermine the coherence and effectiveness of sustainable budgetary principles.

➤ The conflict may divert international aid and assistance away from Moldova, reducing external funding sources for sustainable development initiatives. Decreased donor support, shifting global priorities, and humanitarian crises in conflict-affected regions could limit Moldova's access to financial assistance for sustainability projects.

➤ Damage to infrastructure caused by the conflict may require significant budgetary allocations for reconstruction and rehabilitation efforts. Redirecting funds towards

infrastructure repair and rebuilding may strain resources available for sustainable development investments and priorities.

- Economic disruptions resulting from the conflict can lead to an uncertain economic outlook for Moldova, affecting revenue generation and fiscal sustainability. Fluctuations in GDP growth, inflation rates, and trade volumes may complicate budget planning and forecasting for sustainable development expenditures.

- The conflict-related economic shocks may increase the risk of fiscal imbalance in Moldova, with potential consequences for debt sustainability and macroeconomic stability. Balancing short-term spending needs with long-term sustainability objectives may pose challenges for fiscal policymakers amid economic uncertainty.

- Reallocating funds towards crisis response measures may delay or curtail budgetary allocations for sustainability initiatives in Moldova.

- Reduced investment inflows and risk aversion among investors could limit opportunities for public-private partnerships and financing for sustainable development projects.

- The protracted nature of the conflict and its impact on regional stability may have long-term economic consequences for Moldova, affecting budgetary planning and sustainability efforts. Uncertainty about future economic conditions and geopolitical developments could complicate efforts to integrate sustainability into budgetary principles over the medium to long term.

Given the multitude of challenges and threats outlined previously, it is imperative to devise policies that effectively integrate sustainable principles into Moldova's budgetary framework:

- Allocating budgetary resources towards essential services such as healthcare, education, and social welfare to ensure the well-being of citizens, particularly those affected by the conflict and its economic repercussions.

- Strengthening fiscal resilience by diversifying revenue sources, enhancing tax collection mechanisms, and establishing contingency funds to mitigate the impact of economic shocks caused by the conflict.

- Rationalizing government expenditure by identifying inefficiencies, reducing non-essential spending, and reallocating resources towards priority areas such as infrastructure rehabilitation, humanitarian assistance, and conflict resolution efforts.

- Prioritizing investments in infrastructure projects that promote economic development, social inclusion, and environmental sustainability; Focus on rebuilding and modernizing critical infrastructure damaged by the conflict to support long-term recovery and resilience.

- Encouraging public-private partnerships (PPPs) to leverage private sector expertise and resources for sustainable development initiatives; exploration of opportunities for PPPs in infrastructure development, renewable energy projects, and social service delivery to enhance efficiency and effectiveness of budgetary spending.

- Enhancing monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to track the impact of budgetary allocations on sustainable development outcomes. Establishing robust accountability frameworks to ensure transparency, accountability, and effectiveness in the use of public funds.

- Engaging with international partners, multilateral organizations, and donor agencies to mobilize financial assistance, technical expertise, and capacity-building support for sustainable development initiatives in Moldova; strengthening collaboration with neighboring countries to address common challenges and promote regional stability.

➤ Investing in building institutional capacity within government agencies responsible for budget planning, execution, and oversight; providing training and professional development opportunities for public officials to enhance their skills in sustainable budgeting, financial management, and policy analysis.

By implementing these recommendations, Moldova can strengthen its resilience, promote sustainable development, and mitigate the impact of the conflict in Ukraine on its budgetary processes and overall socio-economic stability.

Conclusions:

The concept of sustainable budgeting emerges as a pivotal tool for navigating the complex landscape of modern governance, especially in regions experiencing conflicts such as Moldova in the shadow of the Ukrainian war. By incorporating sustainable principles into budgetary policies, nations can fortify their resilience against economic instability, promote social cohesion, and foster environmental stewardship. Despite the myriad of challenges posed by conflicts and geopolitical uncertainties, proactive measures such as prioritizing essential services, enhancing financial resilience, and promoting public-private partnerships can pave the way for a brighter future. Ultimately, sustainable budgeting stands as a beacon of hope, guiding nations towards a path of inclusive growth, equitable development, and lasting prosperity. Through smart policies and strategic investments, Moldova, along with other nations facing similar challenges, can build a more sustainable and resilient future for generations to come. As we strive towards these goals, we must remain steadfast in our hope for peace to prevail. Together, through concerted efforts and unwavering commitment, we can build a future where prosperity is shared, and all communities thrive in harmony with their surroundings.

List of sources used

1. Mobjörk M. (2010). Green Budgeting: Accounting for Pollution in the Budget Process. In J. Deighton, K. C. Kohli & D. Stewart (Eds.), *Environmental Management Accounting and Supply Chain Management* (pp. 127-141). Springer.
2. Linder F. & Peters B. G. (2017). The Politics of Green Budgeting: Integration of Environmental Issues into Government Budgeting. In P. Bergquist & J. Backman (Eds.), *Greening the Economy: Perspectives from Scandinavia* (pp. 177-194). Springer.
3. Rødsten R. A. & Rommetvedt H. (2015). Performance-Based Budgeting and Sustainability: Linking Budget and Strategic Planning. In M. Ramesh & M. W. Dowdle (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Public Accountability* (pp. 421-437). Oxford University Press.
4. Heuty A. & Fouquet A. (2018). Participatory Budgeting for Sustainable Development: Lessons from European Experiences. In R. Hambleton, T. J. Randolph, & G. G. Atkinson (Eds.), *Handbook on Sustainable Development Goals: Policies, Administrative, and Social Justice* (pp. 177-190). Edward Elgar Publishing.
5. Bovens M. & 't Hart P. (2016). Understanding Sustainable Budgeting: The Dutch Experience. *Public Administration*. 94 (3). P. 769-784.
6. Li X., Bai Y., Zhang W. & Chen J. (2019). Sustainable Budgeting in Chinese Cities: Practices, Challenges, and Opportunities. *Sustainability*. 11 (20). 5697.
7. Sridhar K. S. & Kotwal A. (2018). Evaluating Environmental and Social Impacts of Budgetary Decisions: A Framework and Application. *Environmental Science & Policy*. 89. P. 219-228.
8. Yilmaz S., Özcan B. & Gündüz L. (2020). A Comprehensive Approach to Sustainable Budgeting: Development of Performance Indicators for Public Budgets. *Sustainability*. 12 (7). 2882.

9. Krogstrup H. & Wälti S. (2017). Overcoming the barriers to sustainable budgeting: The need for political commitment and institutional capacity. *Public Administration Review*. 77 (6). P. 829-840.

10. Ahmad N. & Lai J. (2019). Sustainable budgeting: Fostering innovation, collaboration, and long-term economic resilience. *Journal of Sustainable Development*. 12 (3). P. 150-165.

11. Parker G. (2011). Budgeting for the war on terror: Managing an economic shock. *Public Administration Review*. 71 (3). P. 404-414.

12. Weeks J. L. (2014). War, budgeting, and the politics of uncertainty: Fiscal management in Britain, 1688–1784. *The Economic History Review*. 67 (1). P. 101-128.